



THE ATRIUM, SOUTHERN GATE, CHICHESTER, WEST SUSSEX P019 8SQ

*****IMMEDIATE RESPONSE REQUIRED*****

Your article may be published online via Wiley's EarlyView® service (<http://www.interscience.wiley.com/>) shortly after receipt of corrections. EarlyView® is Wiley's online publication of individual articles in full-text HTML and/or pdf format before release of the compiled print issue of the journal. Articles posted online in EarlyView® are peer-reviewed, copy-edited, author-corrected, and fully citable via the article DOI (for further information, visit www.doi.org). EarlyView® means you benefit from the best of two worlds - fast online availability as well as traditional, issue-based archiving.

Please follow these instructions to avoid delay of publication

READ PROOFS CAREFULLY

- This will be your only chance to review these proofs. **Please note that once your corrected article is posted online, it is considered legally published, and cannot be removed from the Web site for further corrections.**
- Please note that the volume and page numbers shown on the proofs are for position only.

ANSWER ALL QUERIES ON PROOFS (Queries for you to answer are attached as the last page of your proof.)

- List all corrections and send back via e-mail to the production contact as detailed in the covering e-mail, or mark all corrections directly on the proofs and send the scanned copy via e-mail. Please do not send corrections by fax or in the post.

CHECK FIGURES AND TABLES CAREFULLY

- Check size, numbering, and orientation of figures.
- All images in the PDF are downsampled (reduced to lower resolution and file size) to facilitate Internet delivery. These images will appear at higher resolution and sharpness in the printed article.
- Review figure legends to ensure that they are complete.
- Check all tables. Review layout, title, and footnotes.

COMPLETE CTA (if you have not already signed one)

- Please send a scanned copy with your proofs and post your completed original form to the address detailed in the covering e-mail. **We cannot publish your paper until we receive the original signed form.**

OFFPRINTS

- 25 complimentary offprints of your article will be dispatched on publication. Please ensure that the correspondence address on your proofs is correct for despatch of the offprints. If your delivery address has changed, please inform the production contact for the journal - details in the covering e-mail. Please allow six weeks for delivery.

Additional reprint and journal issue purchases

- Additional **paper reprints** (minimum quantity 100 copies) are available on publication to contributors. Quotations may be requested from mailto:author_reprints@wiley.co.uk. Orders for additional paper reprints may be placed in advance in order to ensure that they are fulfilled in a timely manner on publication of the article in question. Please note that offprints and reprints will be dispatched under separate cover.
- PDF files of individual articles may be purchased for personal use for \$25 via Wiley's Pay-Per-View service (see <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/aboutus/ppv-articleselect.html>).
- Please note that regardless of the form in which they are acquired, reprints should not be resold, nor further disseminated in electronic or print form, nor deployed in part or in whole in any marketing, promotional or educational contexts without further discussion with Wiley. Permissions requests should be directed to <mailto:permreq@wiley.co.uk>
- Lead authors are cordially invited to remind their co-authors that the reprint opportunities detailed above are also available to them.
- If you wish to purchase print copies of the issue in which your article appears, please contact our Journals Fulfillment Department <mailto:cs-journals@wiley.co.uk> when you receive your complimentary offprints or when your article is published online in an issue. Please quote the Volume/Issue in which your article appears.

The current disequilibrium of North Cascade glaciers

Mauri S. Pelto*

Nichols College, Dudley, MA 01571, USA

Abstract:

Three lines of evidence indicate that North Cascade (Washington, USA) glaciers are currently in a state of disequilibrium. First, annual balance measured on nine glaciers yields a mean cumulative balance for the 1984–2004 period of -8.58 m water equivalent (w.e.), a net loss of ice thickness exceeding 9.5 m. This is a significant loss for glaciers that average 30–50 m in thickness, representing 18–32% of their entire volume.

Second, longitudinal profiles completed in 1984 and 2002 on 12 North Cascade glaciers confirm this volume change indicating a loss of -5.7 to -6.3 m in thickness (5.0–5.6 m w.e.) between 1984 and 2002, agreeing well with the measured cumulative balance of -5.52 m w.e. for the same period. The change in thickness on several glaciers has been equally substantial in the accumulation zone and the ablation zone, indicating that there is no point to which the glacier can retreat to achieve equilibrium. Substantial thinning along the entire length of a glacier is the key indicator that a glacier is in disequilibrium.

Third, North Cascade glacier retreat is rapid and ubiquitous. All 47 glaciers monitored are currently undergoing significant retreat or, in the case of four, have disappeared. Two of the glaciers where mass balance observations were begun, Spider Glacier and Lewis Glacier, have disappeared. The retreat since 1984 of eight Mount Baker glaciers that were all advancing in 1975 has averaged 297 m. These observations indicate broad regional continuity in glacial response to climate. Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEY WORDS glacier; mass balance; terminus retreat; climate change; North Cascades

INTRODUCTION

Glaciers respond to climate in an attempt to achieve equilibrium, advancing with a climate cooling/snowfall increase and retreating with climate warming. If a glacier cannot achieve a point of equilibrium through retreat, it is in disequilibrium with the climate system. A glacier that is in disequilibrium with the present climate will melt away with a continuation of this climate.

The North Cascade Glacier Climate Project (NCGCP) was founded in 1983 to monitor glaciers throughout the range and to identify the response of North Cascade (Washington) glaciers to regional climate change. This task requires monitoring a large number of glaciers. In particular, annual balance measurements on 8–10 glaciers (Figure 1), periodic terminus surveys (every 1–5 years) on 47 glaciers and longitudinal profile mapping on 12 glaciers over a 20 year period have been completed.

This data set is unique in western North America, both in the number of glaciers monitored annually and in the temporal length of the record. This has enabled the identification of consistent trends from glacier to glacier across this mountain range. In the western USA, continuous long-term (>20 years) mass balance records exist on only one other glacier, the South Cascade Glacier monitored by the United States Geological Survey (USGS; Krimmel, 2001). Blue Glacier, in the Olympic Mountains of Washington, also has a long mass balance record, but substantial portions are not based on annual field measurements. Mapping of changes in glacier area have been conducted in both Mount Rainier and Glacier National Parks (Driedger and Kennard,

* Correspondence to: Mauri S. Pelto, Department of Environmental Science, Nichols College, Dudley, MA 01571, USA.
E-mail: peltoms@nichols.edu




Figure 1. Location map of the North Cascade glaciers

1986; Hall and Fagre, 2003). Changes in longitudinal profile have been completed on the Klawatti and South Cascade glaciers (Tangborn *et al.*, 1990; Krimmel, 2001).

The response time of North Cascade glaciers to climate change is comparatively short: 5–20 years for the initial response to a climate change, and 30–100 years for a response that begins to approach equilibrium (Schwitter and Raymond, 1993; Pelto and Hedlund, 2001). The current climate change favours glacier retreat. A glacier will retreat in an attempt to reach a new point of equilibrium. In the North Cascades, the recent loss of several glaciers (Pelto and Hedlund, 2001) raises the question as to whether these glaciers can reach a new point of equilibrium with the current climate. The marked retreat and expected melting away of a majority of glaciers in a mountain range, which indicates regional disequilibrium, has been noted in Glacier

1 National Park (Hall and Fagre, 2003) and on many tropical glaciers, including on Mount Kenya and Mount
2 Kilimanjaro (Kaser *et al.*, 2004).

CLIMATE

6 The North Cascade region has a temperate maritime climate. Approximately 80% of the region's precipitation
7 occurs during the accumulation season (October–April), when the North Cascades are on the receiving end
8 of the Pacific storm track. From late spring to early fall, high pressure to the west keeps the Pacific Northwest
9 comparatively dry. These seasonal variations are related to changes in large-scale atmospheric circulation
10 occurring over the Pacific Ocean, including the Gulf of Alaska.

11 The climate west of the Cascade Crest is temperate with mild year-round temperatures, abundant winter
12 precipitation, and dry summers. Average annual precipitation in the North Cascades typically exceeds 200 cm
13 west of the divide. The climate east of the Cascade Crest is more continental, creating a sharp contrast to the
14 maritime climate of the west of the crest.

15 North Cascade temperatures have increased during the 20th century. On average, the region warmed about
16 0.6 °C; warming has been largest west of the Cascades during winter and spring (Kovanen, 2003). Beginning
17 in 1976, the North Cascades region experienced a substantial climate change to generally warmer and drier
18 conditions (Ebbesmeyer *et al.*, 1991).

19 The long-term trends that in particular affect glaciers are changes in mean ablation-season temperature and
20 winter-season snowfall. Winter-season snowfall across the western USA is directly measured by the United
21 States Department of Agriculture (USDA) at a series of USDA snowpack telemetry (SNOTEL) sites. The 1
22 April snow water equivalent (SWE) provides an excellent measure of winter-season snowfall in the North
23 Cascades. The 1 April SWE record from five long-term SNOTEL stations (Rainy Pass, Lyman Lake, Stevens
24 Pass, Miners Ridge, Fish Lake) indicates 1 April SWE has declined by 25% at these stations since 1946,
25 whereas winter-season precipitation has declined only slightly by 3% at these stations and at Diablo Dam
26 (a National Weather Service cooperative station). Thus, most of the loss in winter SWE reflects increased
27 melting of the snowpack or rain events during the winter season. This decline in snowpack has been noted
28 throughout the Pacific Northwest (Mote *et al.*, 2005). During the 1946–2005 interval, summer temperatures
29 have risen 0.6 °C at Diablo Dam (Figure 2), and this change is consistent throughout this part of the range
30 (Kovanen, 2003).

31 Figure 2 illustrates the two long-term climatic trends that have been impacting glaciers negatively, namely
32 the decline in 1 April SWE and the rise in ablation-season temperature (May–September). As can be seen
33 in Figure 2, the annual variability has been high and will remain so; however, what is striking is the
34 number of recent years, nine during 1984–2005 versus four during 1946–1983, with summer temperatures
35 exceeding 16 °C. Equally noteworthy is the paucity of recent years with SWE reaching 1.5 m water equivalent
36 (w.e.), i.e. two from 1984–2005 compared with 10 from 1946–1983. Several climate models agree that the
37 Pacific Northwest will likely experience a 1.7–2.8 °C temperature increase and 1–10 cm increase in winter
38 precipitation during the early 21st century (Parson, 2001). A portion of this warming has already placed
39 glaciers in jeopardy.

ANNUAL BALANCE

Methods

41
42
43
44
45 Mass balance measurements are the most sensitive indicator of short-term glacier response to climate
46 change. Mass balance is a more valuable indicator of climate than terminus behaviour over short time periods
47 because it is a direct measure of annual climate conditions, whereas terminus behaviour is determined by
48 the cumulative impact of climate and other glaciologic factors over many years (Johannesson *et al.*, 1989).




Figure 2. Ablation-season temperature (June–September), and 1 April SWE at five USDA SNOTEL sites (Rainy Pass, Stevens Pass, Harts Pass, Miners Ridge and Fish Lake)

AQ1

Surface mass balance is the difference between accumulation of water in winter and loss of water by ablation in summer. It is typically measured on a water-year basis, which in the North Cascades begins on 1 October and ends 30 September of the following calendar year. Annual balance is defined as the change observed on a glacier's surface between successive balance minimums (Mayo *et al.*, 1972).

Since 1984, NCGCP has monitored the annual balance of eight glaciers, adding one additional glacier in 1990 (Pelto, 1996, 1997). These glaciers represent a wide range of characteristics and span the North Cascade Range (Table I). NCGCP methods emphasize measuring surface mass balance measurements with a high measurement density on each glacier compared with standard methods, with consistent measurement methods, and at fixed measurement locations. The NCGCP methods are reviewed in detail by Pelto (1996, 1997; Pelto and Riedel, 2001). The average density of measurement points by the NCGCP in the accumulation zone of each glacier ranges from 180 to 300 km⁻² (Pelto, 1996; Pelto and Riedel, 2001). Measurements are made at the same time each year, in late July and again in late September near the end of the ablation season. Any additional ablation that occurs after the last visit to a glacier is measured during the subsequent hydrologic year. Glaciers monitored in this programme do not lose significant mass by calving or avalanching, so that changes observed are primarily a function of winter accumulation and summer ablation on the glacier's surface. The glaciers monitored also have relatively simple geometries, without multiple accumulation areas and ice divides.

Annual balance results

Glaciers in the North Cascades exhibit a consistent response to year-to-year climate variations (Table II). Figure 3 illustrates the closely correlated pattern of annual balance fluctuations on the nine glaciers measured. In most years, all glaciers respond in step with each other to variations in winter precipitation and summer temperature; hence the frequency of overlain lines in Figure 3. There is an annual range of 0.8 m w.e. in the individual annual balances, but the interannual trend remains the same.

Table I. The geographic characteristics of the nine glaciers where annual balance has been monitored annually. Accumulation sources:

Glacier	Aspect	Area (km ²)	Location	Accumulation sources ^a	Distance to climate divide	Elevation range (m)
Columbia	SSE	0.9	47°58'N, 121°21'W	DS, DW, AV	15 km west	1750–1450
Daniels	E	0.4	47°34'N, 121°10'W	DS, WD	1 km east	2230–1970
Easton	SSE	2.9	48°44'N, 121°50'W	DS	75 km west	2900–1700
Foss	NE	0.4	47°35'N, 121°12'W	DS	At divide	2100–1840
Ice Worm	SE	0.1	47°34'N, 121°10'W	DS, AV	1 km east	2100–1900
Lower Curtis	S	0.8	48°50'N, 121°37'W	DS, WD	West 55 km	1850–1460
Lynch	N	0.7	47°34'N, 121°11'W	DS, WD	At divide	2200–1950
Rainbow	ENE	1.6	48°48'N, 121°40'W	DS, AV	70 km west	2040–1310
Yawning	N	0.3	48°27'N, 121°03'W	DS	At divide	2100–1880

^a WD: wind drifting; AV: avalanche accumulation; DS: direct snowfall.

Table II. The annual balance of nine North Cascade glaciers observed in this study (NCGCP) and for South Cascade Glacier, measured by the USGS (Krimmel, 2001)

Year	Columbia	Daniels	Easton	Foss	Ice Worm	Lower Curtis	Lynch	Rainbow	Yawning	South Cascade	Cumulative balance ^a
1984	0.21	0.11		0.51	0.86	0.39	0.33	0.58	0.09	0.12	0.39
1985	-0.31	-0.51		-0.69	-0.75	-0.16	-0.22	0.04	-0.23	-1.20	0.04
1986	-0.20	-0.36		0.12	-0.45	-0.22	-0.07	0.20	-0.10	-0.71	-0.10
1987	-0.63	-0.87		-0.38	-1.39	-0.56	-0.30	-0.26	-0.47	-2.56	-0.71
1988	0.14	-0.15		0.23	-0.24	-0.06	0.17	0.43	-0.06	-1.64	-0.65
1989	-0.09	-0.37		0.09	-0.67	-0.29	0.03	-0.24	-0.19	-0.71	-0.87
1990	-0.06	-0.68	-0.58	-0.27	-0.92	-0.51	-0.12	-0.46	-0.32	-0.73	-1.30
1991	0.38	-0.07	0.41	0.30	0.63	0.04	0.36	0.44	0.23	-0.20	-1.00
1992	-1.85	-1.70	-1.67	-1.92	-2.23	-1.76	-1.38	-1.65	-2.06	-2.01	-2.80
1993	-0.90	-0.83	-1.01	-0.73	-1.02	-0.48	-0.62	-0.80	-0.66	-1.23	-3.55
1994	-0.96	-0.45	-0.92	-0.68	-1.23	-0.55	-0.40	-0.72	-0.62	-1.02	-4.25
1995	-0.45	0.24	-0.31	0.31	0.47	-0.21	0.18	-0.20	-0.26	-0.69	-4.24
1996	-0.62	0.45	0.22	0.34	0.57	-0.18	0.53	0.12	0.34	0.10	-4.05
1997	0.35	0.88	0.53	0.50	0.76	0.27	0.62	0.51	0.50	0.63	-3.50
1998	-1.46	-1.82	-1.87	-1.95	-1.64	-1.38	-1.97	-1.49	-2.03	-1.80	-5.18
1999	1.75	1.52	1.61	1.56	2.15	1.55	1.45	1.84	1.63	1.02	-3.70
2000	0.40	-0.25	-0.10	-0.10	-0.33	-0.25	-0.24	0.15	-0.18	0.38	-3.80
2001	-1.52	-1.75	-1.93	-1.92	-2.15	-1.88	-1.82	-1.71	-1.94	-1.57	-5.65
2002	0.60	-0.18	0.18	0.10	0.05	0.13	-0.13	0.12	0.26	0.55	-5.52
2003	-1.17	-1.52	-0.98	-1.35	-1.40	-1.25	-1.20	-0.98	-1.85	-2.01	-6.82
2004	-1.83	-2.13	-1.06	-1.94	-2.00	-1.51	-1.98	-1.67	-1.78		-8.58

^a Does not include Easton Glacier due to its shorter record or South Cascade Glacier.

Regional response to climate is also indicated by the high correlation values of annual mass balance between glaciers that range from $r^2 = 0.75$ to 0.99 (Table III). The South Cascade Glacier, located approximately 10 km south of Yawning Glacier, in the midst of the NCGCP network, and monitored by the USGS, is also included and is seen to be highly correlated with the NCGCP-surveyed glaciers (Krimmel, 1998).

The mean annual balance for the 1984–2004 period was -0.41 m on the eight glaciers monitored annually. The mean cumulative mass balance loss was -8.5 m w.e., which represents a minimum of 9.5 m of glacier thickness lost. North Cascade glaciers have average thicknesses ranging from 30 to 60 m (Post *et al.*, 1971;




Figure 3. Annual balance records for 10 North Cascade glaciers. South Cascade Glacier data from the USGS (Krimmel, 2001)

Table III. Cross-correlation of annual mass balance on North Cascade glaciers

	Columbia	Daniels	Foss	Ice Worm	LCurtis	Lynch	Rainbow	Yawning	Easton	South Cascade
Columbia	1	0.85	0.9	0.86	0.93	0.86	0.94	0.92	0.93	0.84
Daniels	0.85	1	0.95	0.95	0.93	0.96	0.92	0.95	0.98	0.97
Foss	0.9	0.95	1	0.93	0.95	0.98	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.78
Ice Worm	0.86	0.95	0.93	1	0.93	0.91	0.92	0.9	0.97	0.84
Lower Curtis	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.93	1	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.78
Lynch	0.86	0.96	0.98	0.91	0.94	1	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.75
Rainbow	0.94	0.92	0.95	0.92	0.97	0.94	1	0.95	0.99	0.76
Yawning	0.92	0.95	0.97	0.9	0.97	0.97	0.95	1	0.99	0.81
Easton	0.93	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.99	0.99	1	0.94
South Cascade	0.83	0.97	0.78	0.84	0.78	0.75	0.76	0.81	0.94	1
Mean correlation	0.9	0.95	0.94	0.92	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.97	0.85

Pelto and Hedlund, 2001). Thus, 18–32% of the volume of these glaciers has been lost since 1984. This finding is consistent with observations by the USGS at South Cascade Glacier. Since the mid 1950s, South Cascade Glacier's cumulative mass balance has been -25 m. Its mean annual balance from 1956–75 averaged -0.15 m; but this decreased substantially from 1976 to 2003, during which time the mean annual balance averaged -1.00 m (Krimmel, 2001). The cumulative mass balance of the North Cascade glaciers is becoming increasingly negative, indicating that, instead of approaching equilibrium as the glaciers retreat, they are experiencing increasing disequilibrium with current climate (Figure 4). The mean 1 April SWE in the North Cascades in 2005 at USDA SNOTEL locations in the Northern Cascades is the lowest since 1984, indicating that a negative annual balance will be experienced this year as well.

TERMINUS BEHAVIOUR

Since the maximum glacier advance during the Little Ice Age (LIA) there have been three climate changes in the North Cascades sufficiently large to alter glacier terminus behaviour substantially. During the LIA the




Figure 4. Cumulative mass balance record of North Cascade glaciers in metres of water equivalent. The high degree of correlation between glaciers is evident in the close tracking of each record

mean annual temperatures were 1.0–1.5 °C cooler than at present (Burbank, 1981; Porter, 1986). The lower temperatures in the North Cascades led to a snowline lowering of 100 to 150 m during the LIA (Burbank, 1981; Porter, 1986). North Cascade glaciers maintained advanced terminal positions from 1650 to 1890, emplacing from one to several terminal moraines.

This first substantial climate change following the LIA was a progressive temperature rise from the 1880s to the 1940s. The warming led to ubiquitous rapid retreat of North Cascade Range alpine glaciers from 1890 to 1944 (Rusk, 1924; Long, 1955; ●Hubley, 1956; Burbank, 1981). The average terminus retreat of glaciers on Mount Baker from their LIA moraines to their 1950 positions was 1440 m. For the 38 North Cascade glaciers monitored across the range, the retreat over the same period was 1215 m (Pelto and Hedlund, 2001).

The second substantial change in climate in the Northern Cascades began in 1944, when conditions became cooler and precipitation increased (●Hubley 1956; Tangborn, 1980). ●Hubley (1956) and Long (1956) noted that many North Cascade glaciers began to advance in the early 1950s, following 30 years of rapid retreat. All 11 Mount Baker glaciers advanced during this 1945–75 period.

The retreat and negative mass balances of the 1977–98 period have been noted by Harper (1993), ●Krimmel (1994, 1999), and ●Pelto (1993, 2001). By 1984, all Mount Baker glaciers, which were advancing in 1975, were again retreating (Pelto, 1993). The average retreat of Mount Baker glaciers from 1984 to 2004 was 297 m, ranging from 260 to 430 m. The average retreat measured 137 m for all 47 North Cascade glaciers. This is less retreat than on Mount Baker glaciers; but, given the small size of many of the glaciers, it represents a greater percentage of overall length. Between 1979 and 1984, 35 of the 47 North Cascade glaciers observed annually by NCGCP had begun retreating. By 1992, all 47 glacier termini observed by NCGCP were retreating (Pelto, 1993). By 2004, four glaciers had disappeared: David Glacier, Lewis Glacier, Spider Glacier and Milk Lake Glacier. The NCGCP had been monitoring the terminus position of the four glaciers that disappeared and in each case no glacier ice mass exceeding 0.01 km² was observed.

The time between the onset of a mass balance change and the onset of a significant change in terminus behaviour is called the initial terminus response time or reaction time T_s (Johannesson *et al.*, 1989). For the Northern Cascade glaciers, T_s was determined from the time it took for an observed change in terminus response to the relative cooler and wetter weather that began in 1944 (Long 1955, 1956; ●Hubley, 1956; Tangborn, 1980), and to the subsequent warmer and drier conditions beginning in 1977 (Ebbesmeyer *et al.*, 1991). Focusing on 21 North Cascade glaciers that responded to these two climate shifts, all having areas under 10 km², the initial terminus response was invariably less than 16 years (●Hubley, 1956; Harper, 1993;

AQ3

AQ4
AQ5AQ6
AQ7

AQ8

AQ9

1 Pelto, 1993). Thus, by 2004, the termini of all North Cascade glaciers had completed their initial response
2 to the 1976 climate change. The current climate change is significant enough that equilibrium is not being
3 approached yet.

4 The 38 North Cascade glaciers where the terminus history has been determined for the 1890–1998 period
5 exhibit one of three distinct patterns (Pelto and Hedlund, 2001):
6

- 7 1. Retreat from 1890 to 1950, then a period of advance from 1950 to 1976, followed by retreat since 1976.
- 8 2. Rapid retreat from 1890 to approximately 1950, followed by slow retreat or equilibrium from 1950 to 1976
9 and moderate to rapid retreat since 1976.
- 10 3. Continuous retreat from 1890 to 2005.

11
12 Today, regardless of the initial pattern of glacier retreat, rapid retreat is under way, indicating that the Northern
13 Cascade glaciers are in disequilibrium with current climate.

14 *Longitudinal profiles*

15
16 Centreline surface elevation longitudinal profiles have been completed for three different moments in time
17 from historic photographs (ca 1900), USGS maps (1964 and 1985), and our own field measurements (1984
18 to present) on 12 glaciers. This paper focuses on results from Columbia, Easton, and Lower Curtis Glaciers
19 (Pelto and Hartzell, 2004). Each profile begins at a fixed bedrock location below the terminus and ends at
20 the head of the glacier. Easton Glacier lacks a fixed bedrock feature at its head; thus, the profile extends over
21 only 80% of its length.

22 Since 1984, Columbia Glacier has retreated 134 m. Lateral reduction in glacier width of 95 m in the lower
23 section of the glacier and the reduction in glacier thickness are even more substantial as a percentage. Easton
24 Glacier has retreated 315 m from 1989 to 2005. In 1989, the glacier was in contact with a terminal moraine
25 emplaced during the glacier's advance in the 1950–75 period (Figure 5). The Lower Curtis terminus remains
26 vigorously active, but has retreated 184 m since the onset of its retreat in 1986.

27 The changes in each glacier indicate that Easton Glacier has lost 46 m of ice thickness since 1916, and 13 m
28 from 1984 to 2002. Lower Curtis Glacier lost 45 m of ice thickness from 1908 to 1984, and an additional
29 6 m from 1984 to 2002. On Columbia Glacier, the ice thickness loss from 1911 to 1984 was 57 m, 11 m
30 from 1965 to 2002, and 8 m from 1984 to 2002 (Pelto and Hartzell, 2004).

31 The 1984–2002 profile change shows that the greatest thinning for Lower Curtis and Columbia Glacier
32 has occurred in the middle of their cirque basins, in the accumulation zone, where slope is at a minimum and
33 glacier thickness a maximum (Figure 6). The thinning in the cirque basin for Columbia Glacier since 1984
34 has been 13–16 m, versus a glacier-wide average thinning of 8 m. On Lower Curtis Glacier, the thinning in
35 the cirque basin has averaged 10 m, versus 6 m for the entire glacier. In both cases, this location of maximum
36 thinning is in the accumulation zone (Pelto and Hartzell, 2004).

37 Typically, a glacier's thinning is greatest at the terminus. At some distance above the terminus, usually in
38 the accumulation zone, the glacier no longer thins appreciably even during a retreat (Schwitter and Raymond,
39 1993). The observed profile change exhibited by Easton Glacier from 1984 to 2002 is more typical thinning,
40 with the greatest elevation change at the terminus. Recent thickness change averages 18 m in the vicinity of
41 the terminus and 8 m at the equilibrium line altitude. The reduction in thinning with elevation indicates that,
42 at some point in the accumulation zone, the glacier is not thinning appreciably. This behaviour of greatest
43 thinning at the terminus suggests the Easton Glacier is capable of retreating to a new stable position. However,
44 Lower Curtis and Columbia Glaciers exhibit a more unstable form of retreat, where the accumulation zone
45 itself experiences substantial thinning. This observation, in conjunction with the observed terminus retreat,
46 suggests that the entire glacier is out of equilibrium. The two glaciers in this condition seem unlikely to be
47 able to survive in anything like their present extent, given the current climate.

48 The 1984–2002 cumulative mass balance change obtained from annual balance records for Columbia
49 Glacier is -6.09 m w.e., and a change of -6.26 m w.e. has been measured for the Lower Curtis Glacier,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49




Figure 5. Terminus of the Easton Glacier in 2003; 1985 position indicated by black line




Figure 6. Longitudinal profile height changes on the Lower Curtis, Easton and Columbia Glaciers as measured from the terminus benchmark and proceeding upglacier

1 both corresponding to a change of 7 m in ice thickness. Field measurements recorded ice thickness reductions
2 of 8 m on Columbia Glacier and 6 m on Lower Curtis Glacier, corroborating the annual surface balance
3 records. The surface balance record for Easton Glacier has been slightly more negative than the other two
4 glaciers since 1990. However, the surface balance and longitudinal profile cannot be compared directly in this
5 fashion, as the longitudinal profile does not extend to the head of the glacier and the surface annual balance
6 record incorporates measurements from the entire extent of the glacier.

9 CONCLUSIONS

10 The recent 0.6 °C temperature rise in summer temperature and the 25% reduction in mean 1 April SWE
11 from 1946 to 2004 in the North Cascades have resulted in evident disequilibrium of North Cascade glaciers.
12 The 1990s were the warmest decade by 0.5 °C of the 20th century in the Pacific Northwest (Mote *et al.*,
13 2005). The mean annual balances of North Cascade glaciers averaged -0.41 m from 1984 to 2004. The net
14 loss, -8.58 m w.e., represents a significant portion (18–32%) of the total glacier volume and has resulted in
15 substantial retreat and thinning. The annual balance pattern is quite similar from glacier to glacier in the North
16 Cascades from 1984 to 2004, indicating that morphometric and geographic characteristics of the glaciers are
17 much less important than the regional climate in determining glacier mass balance. This has not been viewed
18 to be the case in research focusing on just two or three glaciers (Tangborn *et al.*, 1990; Kovanen, 2003).
19 The retreat is ubiquitous, rapid and increasing. There is no evidence that North Cascade glaciers are close to
20 equilibrium. Their ongoing thinning indicates that all of the glaciers will continue to retreat in the foreseeable
21 future.

22 In cases where the thinning is substantial along the entire length of the glacier, no point of equilibrium
23 can be achieved with present climate and the glacier is unlikely to survive. This has already occurred on the
24 David, Lewis, Spider and Milk Lake Glaciers. In 2005, 10 of 12 glaciers where longitudinal profiles were
25 completed are thinning dramatically along their entire length. The majority of North Cascade glaciers are
26 hence in a state of disequilibrium with current climate. A glacier in disequilibrium cannot achieve equilibrium
27 via retreat and will melt away with the current climate. This is a rule that should apply to temperate alpine
28 glaciers regardless of location.

29 The coherent response of all the glaciers to climate change has been noted for the Pacific Northwest as a
30 whole by Hodge *et al.* (1997). This is emphasized by the annual balance and terminus records described in
31 this paper. The trend in mass balance of the glaciers of the North Cascades is becoming more negative and
32 terminus retreat rates are rising. With a warm-phase Pacific decadal oscillation and an El Niño for the 2005
33 hydrologic year, the mass balance will be negative for this year as well.

AQ10

37 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

38 This paper has been greatly improved by two anonymous reviewers and Andrew Klein the editor, who all put
39 in extra efforts to improve this paper. The field assistance of Bill Prater, Cliff Hedlund, and Tom Hammond
40 was particularly valuable.

43 REFERENCES

- 44 Burbank DW. 1981. A chronology of late Holocene glacier fluctuations on Mt. Rainier. *Arctic and Alpine Research* **13**: 369–386.
45 Driedger C, Kennard PM. 1986. Ice volumes on Cascade volcanoes: Rainier, Mount Hood, Three sisters, and Mount Shasta. *United States,
46 Geological Survey, Professional Paper* **1365**.
47 Ebbesmeyer CC, Cayan DR, McLain DR, Nichols FH, Peterson DH, Redmond KT. 1991. 1976 step in the Pacific climate: forty environ-
48 mental changes between 1968–1975 and 1976–1984. In *Proceedings on the 7th Annual Pacific Climate Workshop*, Betancourt JL, Tharp
49 VL (eds); 129–141.
Hall MH, Fagre DB. 2003. Modeled climate-induced glacier change in Glacier National Park, 1850–2100. *BioScience* **53**: 131–140.

- 1 Harper JT. 1993. Glacier terminus fluctuations on Mt. Baker, Washington, USA, 1940–1980, and climate variations. *Arctic and Alpine*
2 *Research* **25**: 332–340.
- 3 Hodge SM, Trabant DC, Krimmel RM, Heinrichs TA, March RS, Josberger EG. 1998. Climate variations and changes in mass of three
4 glaciers in western North America. *Journal of Climate* **11**: 2161–2179.
- 5 Hubley RC. 1957. Glaciers of Washington's Cascades and Olympic Mountains: their present activity and its relation to local climatic trends.
6 *Journal of Glaciology* **2**(19): 669–674.
- 7 IPCC. 1996. Climate Change 1995 Contributions of Working Group I to the Second Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
8 Change. Cambridge University Press.
- 9 Johannesson T, Raymond C, Waddington E. 1989. Time-scale for adjustment of glacier to changes in mass balance. *Journal of Glaciology*
10 **35**(121): 355–369.
- 11 Kaser G, Hardy DR, Mölg T, Bradley RS, Hyera TM. 2004. Modern glacier retreat on Kilimanjaro as evidence of climate change:
12 observations and facts. *International Journal of Climatology* **24**: 329–339.
- 13 Kovanen DJ. 2003. Decadal variability in climate and glacier fluctuations on Mt. Baker, Washington, U.S.A. *Geografiska Annaler Series A*,
14 **85**: 43–55.
- 15 Krimmel RM. 1994. *Water, ice and meteorological measurements at South Cascade Glacier, Washington, 1993 balance year*. USGS WRI-
16 94-4139.
- 17 Krimmel RM. 1998. *Water, ice, meteorological measurements at South Cascade Glacier, Washington, 1997 balance year*. USGS WRI-98-
18 4090.
- 19 Krimmel RM. 2001. *Water, ice, meteorological and speed measurements at South Cascade Glacier, Washington, 1999 balance year*. USGS
20 WRI-00-4265.
- 21 Long WA. 1955. What's happening to our glaciers. *The Scientific Monthly* **81**: 57–64.
- 22 Long WA. 1956. Present growth and advance of Boulder Glacier, Mt. Baker. *The Scientific Monthly* **83**: 1–2.
- 23 Mayo LR, Meier MF, Tangborn WV. 1972. A system to combine stratigraphic and annual mass balance systems: a contribution to the IHD:
24 *Journal of Glaciology* **11**(61): 3–14.
- 25 Mote PW, Hamlet AF, Clark MP, Lettenmaier DP. 2005. Declining mountain snowpack in western North America. *Bulletin of the American*
26 *Meteorological Society* in press.
- 27 Parson EA. 2001. Potential consequences of climate variability and change for the Pacific Northwest. In *Climate Change Impacts on the*
28 *United States: The Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change*. Report for the US Global Research Program. Cambridge
29 University Press: Cambridge, UK; 247–280.
- 30 Pelto MS. 1993. Current behavior of glaciers in the North Cascades and its effect on regional water supply. *Washington Geology* **21**(2):
31 3–10.
- 32 Pelto MS. 1996. Annual net balance of North Cascade glaciers, 1984–1994. *Journal of Glaciology* **42**(140): 3–9.
- 33 Pelto MS. 1997. Reply to comments of Meier, *et al.* on 'Annual net balance of North Cascade glaciers 1984–1994' by M. S. Pelto. *Journal*
34 *of Glaciology* **43**(143): 193–196.
- 35 Pelto MS, Hartzell PL. 2004. Change in longitudinal profile on three North Cascades glaciers during the last 100 years. *Hydrological*
36 *Processes* **18**: 1139–1146.
- 37 Pelto MS, Hedlund C. 2001. The terminus behavior and response time of North Cascade glaciers. *Journal of Glaciology* **47**: 497–506.
- 38 Pelto MS, Riedel J. 2001. The spatial and temporal variation of mass balance on North Cascade glaciers. *Hydrological Processes* **15**:
39 3461–3472.
- 40 Porter SC. 1986. Pattern and forcing of Northern Hemisphere glacier variations during the last millennium. *Quaternary Research* **26**: 27–48.
- 41 Post A, Richardson D, Tangborn WV, Rosselot FL. 1971. Inventory of glaciers in the North Cascades, Washington. *United States, Geological*
42 *Survey, Professional Papers* **705-A**.
- 43 Rusk CE. 1924. *Tales of a Western Mountaineer*. Houghton Mifflin: New York.
- 44 Schwitter MP, Raymond C. 1993. Changes in the longitudinal profile of glaciers during advance and retreat. *Journal of Glaciology* **39**(133):
45 582–590.
- 46 Tangborn WV. 1980. Two models for estimating climate-glacier relationships in the North Cascades, Washington, USA. *Journal of Glaciology*
47 **25**: 3–21.
- 48 Tangborn WV, Fountain AG, Sikonia WG. 1990. Effect of area distribution with altitude on glacier mass balance—a comparison on North
49 and South Klawatti Glaciers, Washington State, USA. *Annals of Glaciology* **14**: 278–282.
- Walters RA, Meier MF. 1989. Variability of glacier mass balances in western North America. In *Aspects of Climate Variability the Pacific*
and Western Americas, Peterson DH (ed.). American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph 55. AGU: 365–374.

AQ13

AQ14

QUERIES TO BE ANSWERED BY AUTHOR

IMPORTANT NOTE: Please mark your corrections and answers to these queries directly onto the proof at the relevant place. Do NOT mark your corrections on this query sheet.

Queries from the Copyeditor:

- AQ1 The trend lines are identical format, but I presume your readers can glean the info they require from the raw data.
- AQ2 Is this change what you mean, or is it the shorter records of both these glaciers causing them not to be included (hence the only change to the original would be 'its' to 'their')?
- AQ3 Hubley, 1956 not listed. 1957?
- AQ4 Ditto.
- AQ5 Ditto.
- AQ6 Krimmel (1999) ref not listed. 1998?
- AQ7 Pelto (2001) not listed.
- AQ8 Hubley, 1956 not listed. 1957?
- AQ9 Hubley, 1956 not listed. 1957?
- AQ10 Hodge *et al.* (1997) not listed. 1998?
- AQ11 Possibly not cited.
- AQ12 Possibly not cited.
- AQ13 Not cited.
- AQ14 Update?
- AQ15 Any eds?
-

WILEY AUTHOR DISCOUNT CARD

As a highly valued contributor to Wiley's publications, we would like to show our appreciation to you by offering a **unique 25% discount** off the published price of any of our books*.

To take advantage of this offer, all you need to do is apply for the **Wiley Author Discount Card** by completing the attached form and returning it to us at the following address:

The Database Group
John Wiley & Sons Ltd
The Atrium
Southern Gate
Chichester
West Sussex PO19 8SQ
UK

In the meantime, whenever you order books direct from us, simply quote promotional code **S001W** to take advantage of the 25% discount.

The newest and quickest way to order your books from us is via our new European website at:

<http://www.wileyeurope.com>

Key benefits to using the site and ordering online include:

- Real-time SECURE on-line ordering
- The most up-to-date search functionality to make browsing the catalogue easier
- Dedicated Author resource centre
- E-mail a friend
- Easy to use navigation
- Regular special offers
- Sign up for subject orientated e-mail alerts

So take advantage of this great offer, return your completed form today to receive your discount card.

Yours sincerely,



Verity Leaver
E-marketing and Database Manager

*TERMS AND CONDITIONS

This offer is exclusive to Wiley Authors, Editors, Contributors and Editorial Board Members in acquiring books (excluding encyclopaedias and major reference works) for their personal use. There must be no resale through any channel. The offer is subject to stock availability and cannot be applied retrospectively. This entitlement cannot be used in conjunction with any other special offer. Wiley reserves the right to amend the terms of the offer at any time.

REGISTRATION FORM FOR 25% BOOK DISCOUNT CARD

To enjoy your special discount, tell us your areas of interest and you will receive relevant catalogues or leaflets from which to select your books. Please indicate your specific subject areas below.

<p>Accounting []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public [] • Corporate [] 	<p>Architecture []</p>
<p>Chemistry []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analytical [] • Industrial/Safety [] • Organic [] • Inorganic [] • Polymer [] • Spectroscopy [] 	<p>Business/Management []</p>
<p>Encyclopedia/Reference []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Business/Finance [] • Life Sciences [] • Medical Sciences [] • Physical Sciences [] • Technology [] 	<p>Computer Science []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Database/Data Warehouse [] • Internet Business [] • Networking [] • Programming/Software Development [] • Object Technology []
<p>Earth & Environmental Science []</p>	<p>Engineering []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Civil [] • Communications Technology [] • Electronic [] • Environmental [] • Industrial [] • Mechanical []
<p>Hospitality []</p>	<p>Finance/Investing []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economics [] • Institutional [] • Personal Finance []
<p>Genetics []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bioinformatics/Computational Biology [] • Proteomics [] • Genomics [] • Gene Mapping [] • Clinical Genetics [] 	<p>Life Science []</p>
<p>Medical Science []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cardiovascular [] • Diabetes [] • Endocrinology [] • Imaging [] • Obstetrics/Gynaecology [] • Oncology [] • Pharmacology [] • Psychiatry [] 	<p>Landscape Architecture []</p>
<p>Non-Profit []</p>	<p>Mathematics/Statistics []</p>
	<p>Manufacturing []</p>
	<p>Material Science []</p>
	<p>Psychology []</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical [] • Forensic [] • Social & Personality [] • Health & Sport [] • Cognitive [] • Organizational [] • Developmental and Special Ed [] • Child Welfare [] • Self-Help []
	<p>Physics/Physical Science []</p>

I confirm that I am a Wiley Author/Editor/Contributor/Editorial Board Member of the following publications:

SIGNATURE:

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING DETAILS IN BLOCK CAPITALS:

TITLE AND NAME: (e.g. Mr, Mrs, Dr)

JOB TITLE:

DEPARTMENT:

COMPANY/INSTITUTION:

ADDRESS:

.....

.....

.....

TOWN/CITY:

COUNTY/STATE:

COUNTRY:

POSTCODE/ZIP CODE:

DAYTIME TEL:

FAX:

E-MAIL:

YOUR PERSONAL DATA

We, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, will use the information you have provided to fulfil your request. In addition, we would like to:

1. Use your information to keep you informed by post, e-mail or telephone of titles and offers of interest to you and available from us or other Wiley Group companies worldwide, and may supply your details to members of the Wiley Group for this purpose.
 Please tick the box if you do not wish to receive this information
2. Share your information with other carefully selected companies so that they may contact you by post, fax or e-mail with details of titles and offers that may be of interest to you.
 Please tick the box if you do not wish to receive this information.

If, at any time, you wish to stop receiving information, please contact the Database Group (databasegroup@wiley.co.uk) at John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, UK.

E-MAIL ALERTING SERVICE

We offer an information service on our product ranges via e-mail. If you do not wish to receive information and offers from John Wiley companies worldwide via e-mail, please tick the box .

This offer is exclusive to Wiley Authors, Editors, Contributors and Editorial Board Members in acquiring books (excluding encyclopaedias and major reference works) for their personal use. There should be no resale through any channel. The offer is subject to stock availability and may not be applied retrospectively. This entitlement cannot be used in conjunction with any other special offer. Wiley reserves the right to vary the terms of the offer at any time.

Ref: S001W